

DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439
dbergeson@be-law.com
MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373
mmorton@be-law.com
JAIDEEP VENKATESAN, Bar No. 211386
jvenkatesan@be-law.com
BERGESON, LLP
303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500
San Jose, CA 95110-2712
Telephone: (408) 291-6200
Facsimile: (408) 297-6000

ANDREW GROSSO, Esq., *pro hac vice*
Agrosso@acm.org
ANDREW GROSSO & ASSOCIATES
Georgetown Place
1101 Thirtieth St., NW, Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007
Telephone: (202) 298-6500
Facsimile: (202) 298-5599

Attorneys for Defendant
PAXFIRE, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

BETSY FEIST, individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

RCN CORP AND PAXFIRE, INC.,

Defendants.

MISC. Case No. CV12-80121 JSW (EDL)

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT PAXFIRE, INC.'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL
DOCUMENT SUBMITTED IN SUPPORT
OF OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF BETSY
FEIST'S MOTION TO QUASH
SUBPOENAS TO CONSULTANTS AND
REQUEST FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Mag. Judge: Elizabeth D. Laporte
Ctrm: E, 15th Floor

Now before the Court is Defendant Paxfire, Inc.'s ("Paxfire") Administrative Motion for Leave to File Under Document Submitted in Support of Opposition to Plaintiff Betsy Feist's Motion to Quash Subpoenas to Consultants and Request for a Protective Order. Upon consideration of the motion and the supporting declaration of Jaideep Venkatesan filed therewith, the Court finds there to be good cause for granting Paxfire's request to file documents under seal.

GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

1. The parties possess overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of public access to the record in the following document(s):
 - a. Letter from Ben Traynor to Peter D. Jacob attached as Exhibit O to the Declaration of Andrew Grosso submitted in support of Paxfire's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Quash Subpoenas to Consultants and Request For Protective Order.
2. The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;
3. A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
5. No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant Paxfire, Inc.'s Administrative Motion for Leave to File Under Seal Document Submitted in Support of Opposition to Plaintiff Betsy Feist's Motion to Quash Subpoenas to Consultants and Request for a Protective Order is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 12, 2012


Honorable Mag. Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte
United States District Judge